Parallel Programming

Prof. Paolo Bientinesi

pauldj@aices.rwth-aachen.de

WS 16/17





MPI_Comm_split(MPI_Comm comm, int color, int key, MPI_Comm* newcomm)

<- EVERYBODY involved!

- <- where do I belong
- <- for the new rank

```
MPI_Comm_split(
    MPI_Comm comm,
    int color,
    int key,
    MPI_Comm* newcomm)
```

<- EVERYBODY involved! <- where do I belong <- for the new rank

```
MPI_Comm_dup
MPI_Comm_create
MPI_Comm_create_group
MPI_Group_incl
...
MPI_Group_union, MPI_Group_intersection
```

Who am I?

MPI_Comm_rank(cart_COMM, &rank); MPI_Cart_coords(cart_COMM, rank, ndims, coords[]);

Who am I?

```
MPI_Comm_rank( cart_COMM, &rank);
MPI_Cart_coords( cart_COMM, rank, ndims, coords[]);
```

My neighbors? No neighbor: MPI_PROC_NULL

MPI_Cart_shift(cart_COMM, direction, displacement, *rank_source, *rank_dest)

Who am I?

```
MPI_Comm_rank( cart_COMM, &rank);
MPI_Cart_coords( cart_COMM, rank, ndims, coords[]);
```

My neighbors? No neighbor: MPI_PROC_NULL

MPI_Cart_shift(cart_COMM, direction, displacement, *rank_source, *rank_dest)

Other topologies: MPI_Graph_create

Patterns for parallel computing

MPI's perspective

• Pipeline

 \rightarrow Ok, but what if the different stages differ significantly in cost?

Patterns for parallel computing

MPI's perspective

• Pipeline

 \rightarrow Ok, but what if the different stages differ significantly in cost?

Fork-join

 \rightarrow Not really

Patterns for parallel computing

MPI's perspective

• Pipeline

 \rightarrow Ok, but what if the different stages differ significantly in cost?

• Fork-join

 $\rightarrow \text{Not really}$

Master-slave

 \rightarrow Yes, absolutely

Strong scalability: timings

n = 20.000



Strong scalability: efficiency

n = 20.000



Weak scalability: timings

10.000 particles per rank



• Lower bound: log

 $\log_2(p)\alpha + n\beta$

• MST algorithm: $\log_2(p)\alpha + \log_2(p)n\beta$

Can we do better?

- Lower bound: $\log_2(p)\alpha + n\beta$
- MST algorithm: $\log_2(p)\alpha + \log_2(p)n\beta$

Can we do better?

• Idea for large n: first Scatter, then Allgather

- Lower bound: $\log_2(p)\alpha + n\beta$ • MST algorithm: $\log_2(p)\alpha + \log_2(p)n\beta$ Can we do better?
- Idea for large n: • Cost: $\log_2(p)\alpha + \frac{p-1}{p}n\beta + \log_2(p)\alpha + \frac{p-1}{p}n\beta$ $\approx 2\log_2(p)\alpha + 2n\beta$
- Weight shifted from β to α ; a factor of 2 from optimal

- Lower bound: $\log_2(p)\alpha + n\beta$ • MST algorithm: $\log_2(p)\alpha + \log_2(p)n\beta$ Can we do better?
- Idea for large n: first Scatter, then Allgather
- Cost: $\log_2(p)\alpha + \frac{p-1}{p}n\beta + \log_2(p)\alpha + \frac{p-1}{p}n\beta$ $\approx 2\log_2(p)\alpha + 2n\beta$
- Weight shifted from β to α ; a factor of 2 from optimal

• In practice: $p = r \times c \Rightarrow$ 2-stage algorithms

• Implement an Allgather as a sequence of broadcasts.

• Time and compare your implementation with MPI's Allgather; test different message lengths and different numbers of ranks.

- Rank root has access to an input device.
 root performs an infinite loop, reading from the device, sending tasks to all other ranks, and collecting (and printing) the results.
 root does not execute tasks itself.
- Simulate the input device with a text file, containing a long list of integers (one per row); each integer denotes the "length" (size) of a chunk of input data. The idea is that root either reads or creates such data, and sends it to one worker, for processing.
- The workers execute an infinite loop, waiting for an incoming chunk data, processing it, and sending the result to root. (Alternatively, the results are handled by another designated process dest).
- Objective: minimize (eliminate) wait times.