# The Tersoff many-body potential: Sustainable performance through vectorization

#### Markus Höhnerbach Ahmed E. Ismail Paolo Bientinesi

High Performance and Automatic Computing Group Aachen Institute for Advanced Study in Computational Engineering Science RWTH Aachen University

Supercomputing 2015 Producing High Performance and Sustainable Software for Molecular Simulation





### Vectorization

- Basically mandatory on the Xeon Phi
- Ubiquitous: Gromacs (intrinsics), NAMD (intrinsics), LAMMPS (USER-INTEL, pragma based approach)
- Typically pair potentials and neighbor list build

#### Gains in complex cases?

- Tersoff potential: Widely used, fairly simple (~700 LOC)
- Previous work for GPU: EAM<sup>a</sup>, Stillinger-Weber<sup>b</sup> and Tersoff <sup>c</sup>
- <sup>a</sup> Brown et al, An Evaluation of Molecular Dynamics Performance on the Hybrid Cray XK6 Supercomputer, Procedia Computer Science, 2012.
- <sup>b</sup> Brown at al, Implementing molecular dynamics on hybrid high performance computers Three-body potentials, Computer Physics Communications, 2013.
- <sup>c</sup> Hou et al, Efficient GPU-accelerated molecular dynamics simulation of solid covalent crystals, Computer Physics Communications, 2013.

### The Tersoff potential

$$V = \sum_{i} \sum_{j:r_{ij} < r_{C}} \overbrace{f_{C}(r_{ij}) [f_{R}(r_{ij}) + b_{ij}f_{A}(r_{ij})]}^{V(i,j,\zeta_{ij})}$$
(1)  
$$b_{ij} = (1 + \beta^{\eta}\zeta_{ij}^{\eta})^{-\frac{1}{2\eta}}$$
(2)

$$\zeta_{ij} = \sum_{k:r_{ik} < r_C} \underbrace{f_C(r_{ik})g(\theta_{ijk})\exp(\lambda_3(r_{ij} - r_{ik}))}_{\zeta(i,j,k)}$$
(3)

- Terms in V and b<sub>ij</sub> depend on the type of i and j
- Terms in  $\zeta_{ij}$  depend on the type of *i*, *j* and *k*

for *i* in local atoms of the current thread do for *j* in atoms neighboring *i* do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow 0;$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow \zeta_{ii} + \zeta(i, j, k);$  $E \leftarrow E + V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_j \leftarrow F_j - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $\delta \zeta \leftarrow \partial_{\zeta} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $\begin{bmatrix} F_i \leftarrow F_i - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_i}\zeta(i,j,k); \\ F_j \leftarrow F_j - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_j}\zeta(i,j,k); \\ F_k \leftarrow F_k - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_k}\zeta(i,j,k) \end{bmatrix}$ 

for *i* in local atoms of the current thread do for *j* in atoms neighboring *i* do  $\begin{aligned} \zeta_{ij} \leftarrow 0; \\ \mathbf{for} \ k \ in \ atoms \ neighboring \ i \ \mathbf{do} \\ & \left[ \begin{array}{c} \zeta_{ij} \leftarrow \zeta_{ij} + \zeta(i,j,k) \end{array} \right]; \end{aligned}$  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow 0;$  $E \leftarrow E + V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $\delta \zeta \leftarrow \partial_{\zeta} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \delta \zeta \cdot \partial_{x_i} \zeta(i, j, k);$  $\begin{vmatrix} F_{j} \leftarrow F_{j} - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_{j}}\zeta(i, j, k); \\ F_{k} \leftarrow F_{k} - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_{k}}\zeta(i, j, k) \end{vmatrix}$ 

for *i* in local atoms of the current thread do for *j* in atoms neighboring *i* do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow 0;$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $\zeta_{ij} \leftarrow \zeta_{ij} + \zeta(i,j,k);$  $\delta \zeta \leftarrow \partial_{\zeta} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \delta \zeta \cdot \partial_{x_i} \zeta(i, j, k);$  $\begin{vmatrix} F_{j} \leftarrow F_{j} - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_{j}}\zeta(i, j, k); \\ F_{k} \leftarrow F_{k} - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_{k}}\zeta(i, j, k) \end{vmatrix}$ 

for *i* in local atoms of the current thread do for *j* in atoms neighboring *i* do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow 0;$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow \zeta_{ii} + \zeta(i,j,k);$  $E \leftarrow E + V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ij});$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $\delta \zeta \leftarrow \partial_{\zeta} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $F_{i} \leftarrow F_{i} - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_{i}}\zeta(i, j, k);$   $F_{j} \leftarrow F_{j} - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_{j}}\zeta(i, j, k);$   $F_{k} \leftarrow F_{k} - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_{k}}\zeta(i, j, k)$ 

## Challenges



- Few neighbors
- Fewer interactions

#### Model Problem

Stress in Carbon Nanotubes<sup>a</sup> For single core measurements: Scaled down 100x and simplified

Figure: Graphene

<sup>a</sup>Thanks to Marcus Schmidt

## Vectorization

### "J" algorithm

```
for ... do

for ... do

...;

for ... do

...;

for ... do

for ... do

...;

for ... do
```

#### "I" algorithm



## Implementation

### About LAMMPS

- Already Xeon Phi support via USER-INTEL package
- Usage model: Offloading

### First attempt: Double precision intrinsics

- Would it not be nice to have different precisions?
- Would it not be nice to support different instruction sets?
- Is this sustainable?

## Abstraction

```
typedef vector_routines<double, double, AVX> v;
typedef v::fvec fvec;
fvec a(1);
fvec b(2);
fvec c = v::recip(a + b);
```

#### Features

- Supports single, double and mixed precision
- Supports scalar, SSE, AVX, AVX2, IMCI, AVX-512, array notation (Cilk)

#### Advantages

- Maintainability
- Testing (through AN)
- Portability
- Thin wrapper

# Effect of Vectorization

Experiment: Xeon Phi 5110P					
"l" algorith	m Sequ	uential	Native		
Timings (in seconds)					
Precisior	LAMMPS	I-Scalar	I-Vec		
double	88.72	58.04	14.18		
single	-	45.59	8.56		

Speedup				
P	recision	LAMMPS I-Scalar	LAMMPS I-Vec	<u>I-Scalar</u> I-Vec
do	ouble	1.53	6.26	4.09
si	ngle	1.95	10.36	5.32

# Effect of Vectorization

Experiment: Xeon E5-2680 v3					
Sequential	ntial Haswell		Double precision		
Timings (in seconds) & Speedups					
Arch.	"I"	"J"	LAMMPS "I"	LAMMPS "J"	
LAMMPS	28.23		1		
Scalar	18.63	14.7	1.52	1.91	
SSE	37.15	21.3	0.76	1.32	
AVX	23.92	12.5	1.18	2.25	
AVX2	16.59	10.9	1.70	2.59	

# Full System Comparison

### Experiment

Arch.	Model	Year	Cores
Haswell	2x Xeon E5-2680 v3	2014	24
Sandy Bridge	2x Xeon E5-2450	2012	16
Phi	1x Xeon Phi 5110P	2012	8 · 29
	Offload via Sandy Bridge		

### Timings (in seconds)

System		double	single
Sandy Bridge	LAMMPS	395.89	
	Vec	250.02	229.65
Phi	Vec	170.88	125.14
Haswell	LAMMPS	182.43	
	Vec	136.99	103.16
KNL	Vec	?	?

## Outlook and conclusion

- Complicated potentials benefit from vectorization
- Hiding behind abstraction works
- Unification? There's VCL, Vc, UME, various math libraries
- What about accuracy?
- Integration into LAMMPS/USER-INTEL
- Continue work on Xeon Phi and LAMMPS
- OpenMP 4.1
- AVX-512

The Group hpac.rwth-aachen.de
The IPCC hpac.rwth-aachen.de/ipcc
The Code github.com/v0i0/lammps-tersoff-vector
E-Mail hoehnerbach@aices.rwth-aachen.de

## Backup

for *i* in local atoms of the current thread do for *j* in atoms neighboring *i* do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow 0;$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $E \leftarrow E + V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{\mathbf{x}_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_j \leftarrow F_j - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ij});$  $\delta \zeta \leftarrow \partial_{\zeta} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $\begin{vmatrix} F_i \leftarrow F_i - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_i}\zeta(i,j,k); \\ F_j \leftarrow F_j - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_j}\zeta(i,j,k); \\ F_k \leftarrow F_k - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_k}\zeta(i,j,k) ; \end{vmatrix}$ 

for *i* in local atoms of the current thread do for *j* in atoms neighboring *i* do  $\begin{cases} \zeta_{ij} \leftarrow 0; \\ \text{for } k \text{ in atoms neighboring } i \text{ do} \\ \\ \zeta_{ij} \leftarrow \zeta_{ij} + \zeta(i, j, k); \end{cases}$  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow 0;$  $E \leftarrow E + V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_j \leftarrow F_j - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ij});$  $\delta \zeta \leftarrow \partial_{\zeta} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $\begin{vmatrix} F_i \leftarrow F_i - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_i}\zeta(i,j,k); \\ F_j \leftarrow F_j - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_j}\zeta(i,j,k); \\ F_k \leftarrow F_k - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_k}\zeta(i,j,k) ; \end{vmatrix}$ 

for *i* in local atoms of the current thread do for *j* in atoms neighboring *i* do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow 0;$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow \zeta_{ii} + \zeta(i,j,k);$  $\delta \zeta \leftarrow \partial_{\zeta} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $\begin{vmatrix} F_i \leftarrow F_i - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_i}\zeta(i,j,k); \\ F_j \leftarrow F_j - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_j}\zeta(i,j,k); \\ F_k \leftarrow F_k - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_k}\zeta(i,j,k) ; \end{vmatrix}$ 

for *i* in local atoms of the current thread do for *j* in atoms neighboring *i* do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow 0;$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow \zeta_{ii} + \zeta(i,j,k);$  $E \leftarrow E + V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $\delta \zeta \leftarrow \partial_{\zeta} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $F_{i} \leftarrow F_{i} - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_{i}}\zeta(i, j, k);$   $F_{j} \leftarrow F_{j} - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_{j}}\zeta(i, j, k);$   $F_{k} \leftarrow F_{k} - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_{k}}\zeta(i, j, k);$ 

for *i* in local atoms of the current thread do  
for *j* in atoms neighboring *i* do  

$$\begin{bmatrix} \zeta_{ij} \leftarrow 0; \\ \text{for } k \text{ in atoms neighboring } i \text{ do} \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \zeta_{ij} \leftarrow \zeta_{ij} + \zeta(i, j, k); \\ E \leftarrow E + V(i, j, \zeta_{ij}); \\ F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ij}); \\ F_j \leftarrow F_j - \partial_{x_j} V(i, j, \zeta_{ij}); \\ \delta\zeta \leftarrow \partial_{\zeta} V(i, j, \zeta_{ij}); \\ \text{for } k \text{ in atoms neighboring } i \text{ do} \\ & \begin{bmatrix} F_i \leftarrow F_i - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_i} \zeta(i, j, k); \\ F_j \leftarrow F_j - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_j} \zeta(i, j, k); \\ F_k \leftarrow F_k - \delta\zeta \cdot \partial_{x_k} \zeta(i, j, k); \end{bmatrix}$$

for *i* in local atoms of the current thread **do**  
for *j* in atoms neighboring *i* **do**  
$$\zeta_{ij} \leftarrow 0$$
;  
for *k* in atoms neighboring *i* **do**  
 $\left\lfloor \zeta_{ij} \leftarrow \zeta_{ij} + \zeta(i,j,k); \right\}$   
 $E \leftarrow E + V(i,j,\zeta_{ij});$   
 $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i}V(i,j,\zeta_{ij});$   
 $F_j \leftarrow F_j - \partial_{x_j}V(i,j,\zeta_{ij});$   
for *k* in atoms neighboring *i* **do**  
 $\left[ \begin{array}{c} F_i \leftarrow F_i - \delta\zeta \\ F_j \leftarrow F_j - \delta\zeta \\ F_j \leftarrow F_j - \delta\zeta \end{array} \right] \frac{\partial_{x_i}\zeta(i,j,k);}{\partial_{x_j}\zeta(i,j,k);}$   
 $F_k \leftarrow F_k - \delta\zeta \end{array} \right] \frac{\partial_{x_k}\zeta(i,j,k);}{\partial_{x_k}\zeta(i,j,k)};$ 

for *i* in local atoms of the current thread do for *j* in atoms neighboring *i* do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow 0, \ F_i^{ij} \leftarrow 0, \ F_i^{ij} \leftarrow 0, \ F_k^{ij} \leftarrow 0;$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow \zeta_{ii} + \zeta(i,j,k);$  $F_{i}^{ij} \leftarrow F_{i}^{ij} + \frac{\partial_{x_{i}}\zeta(i,j,k)}{\partial_{x_{j}}\zeta(i,j,k)};$  $F_{j}^{ij} \leftarrow F_{j}^{ij} + \frac{\partial_{x_{j}}\zeta(i,j,k)}{\partial_{x_{j}}\zeta(i,j,k)};$  $\begin{bmatrix} F_k^{ij} \leftarrow F_k^{ij} + \partial_{x_k} \zeta(i,j,k) ; \end{bmatrix}$  $E \leftarrow E + V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $\delta \zeta \leftarrow \partial_{\zeta} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \delta \zeta \cdot \partial_{x_i} \zeta(i, j, k);$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \delta \zeta \cdot \partial_{x_i} \zeta(i, j, k);$  $F_k \leftarrow F_k - \delta \zeta \cdot \partial_{x_k} \zeta(i,j,k)$ ;

for *i* in local atoms of the current thread do for *j* in atoms neighboring *i* do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow 0, \ F_i^{ij} \leftarrow 0, \ F_i^{ij} \leftarrow 0, \ F_i^{ij} \leftarrow 0;$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow \zeta_{ii} + \zeta(i, j, k);$  $F_i^{ij} \leftarrow F_i^{ij} + \partial_{\mathbf{x}_i} \zeta(i, j, k);$  $F_i^{ij} \leftarrow F_i^{ij} + \partial_{x_i} \zeta(i, j, k);$  $F_{\mu}^{ij} \leftarrow F_{\mu}^{ij} + \partial_{x_{\mu}} \zeta(i, j, k);$  $E \leftarrow E + V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $\delta \zeta \leftarrow \partial_{\zeta} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \delta \zeta F_i^{ij};$  $F_i \leftarrow F_i - \delta \zeta F_i^{ij};$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $F_k \leftarrow F_k - \delta \zeta \cdot F_k^{ij}$ ;

for *i* in local atoms of the current thread do for *j* in atoms neighboring *i* do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow 0, \ F_i^{ij} \leftarrow 0, \ F_i^{ij} \leftarrow 0, \ F_i^{ij} \leftarrow 0;$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $\zeta_{ii} \leftarrow \zeta_{ii} + \zeta(i,j,k);$  $F_i^{ij} \leftarrow F_i^{ij} + \partial_{x_i} \zeta(i, j, k);$  $F_i^{ij} \leftarrow F_i^{ij} + \partial_{x_i} \zeta(i, j, k);$  $F_{i}^{ij} \leftarrow F_{i}^{ij} + \partial_{x_{i}} \zeta(i, j, k);$  $E \leftarrow E + V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $\delta \zeta \leftarrow \partial_{\zeta} V(i, j, \zeta_{ii});$  $\overbrace{F_i \leftarrow F_i - \partial_{x_i} V(i, j, \zeta_{ij}) - \delta \zeta \cdot F_i^{ij}; \\ F_j \leftarrow F_j - \partial_{x_j} V(i, j, \zeta_{ij}) - \delta \zeta \cdot F_i^{ij}; }$ for k in atoms neighboring i do  $F_k \leftarrow F_k - \delta \zeta \cdot F_k^{ij}$ ;